



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601
BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS
JAMES E. GRAY
COMMISSIONER

ELIJAH M. HOGGE
SECRETARY

WENDELL H. FORD
GOVERNOR

April 7, 1974

MEMO TO: J. R. Harbison
State Highway Engineer
Chairman, Research Committee

SUBJECT: Research Report No. 387; "Relationships between Roadway Geometrics and Accidents
(An Analysis of Kentucky Records); KYP-72-40; HPR-1(9), Part III

Earlier and timely implementation of the Kentucky highway, high-accident site identification program (1968) was accomplished by acceptance of an interim but judicious definition of a high-accident site. This study (under work program title Effects of Geometrics and Operations on Accidents) was undertaken to provide statistical bases of accident histories not only for identification of hazardous sites but also hazardous highways. More specifically, three years of accident records were analyzed with respect to attributes of the highway, types and frequencies of accidents, and severity indexes. Average accident rates are given for each type of roadway; critical averages are also given. Any section of highway having an accident rate exceeding the higher critical value would leave only one-half of one percent chance of falsely identifying the road or section as being abnormally hazardous. A section having an accident rate exceeding the lower critical value would leave a five-percent chance of falsely identifying the section as hazardous. There are, of course, increasing risks or chances of failing to identify hazardous sections when the chance or probability of false identification is set low. It would be necessary to balance or weight these risks if a critical accident rate were chosen as a criterion for identification. The critical rates given in the report encompass probabilities used by some other states.

Accident rates alone or together with severity ratings may comprise a basis for ranking and ordering sections of highways. If the highest one-half percent were chosen for identification and listing, the process would be almost equivalent to the use of the higher critical values described above.

A companion study (KYP-73-45, Identification of Hazardous Highway Locations) addresses the identification of sites more straight forwardly. There, efforts will be made to balance the identification criteria and to minimize false listings -- that is, to identify sites most likely to be correctable.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Jas. H. Havens".

Jas. H. Havens
Director of Research

JHH:gd
Attachment
CC's: Research Committee

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Relationships Between Roadway Geometrics and Accidents (An Analysis of Kentucky Records)		5. Report Date April 1974	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) K. R. Agent		8. Performing Organization Report No. 387	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Division of Research Kentucky Bureau of Highways 533 South Limestone Lexington, Kentucky 40508		10. Work Unit No.	
		11. Contract or Grant No. KYP - 72 - 40	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Study Title: Effects of Geometrics and Operations on Rural Accidents In Kentucky			
16. Abstract Statewide average and critical rates of accidents were determined from 1970-1972 Kentucky accident records for each type of rural highway. Four-lane undivided highways had the highest average accident rate; parkways (toll roads) had the lowest rate. The severity of accidents was related to types of accidents, highways, and traffic control and to safety belt usage. Accidents involving pedestrians were the most severe types; single-vehicle accidents ranked next highest in severity. Excluding accidents at railroad crossings, accidents which occurred on curves had the highest severity index. The use of safety belts was associated with reduced severity.			
17. Key Words Severity Index Highway Type Accident Rate Accident Type Traffic Control Safety Belt		18. Distribution Statement	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages	22. Price

Research Report
387

**RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ROADWAY GEOMETRICS AND ACCIDENTS
(AN ANALYSIS OF KENTUCKY RECORDS)**

KYP-72-40, HPR-1(9), Part III

by

Kenneth R. Agent
Research Engineer

Division of Research
Bureau of Highways
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Commonwealth of Kentucky

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Bureau of Highways. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

April 1974

SUMMARY

1. Statewide average accident rates were obtained for each type of highway (Tables 1 and 2). Four-lane undivided highways had the highest average accident rate while parkways had the lowest rate.
2. Statewide average critical accident rates were determined for each type of highway (Table 3).
3. Type of accident was related to type of highway (Figure 1). Rear-end or same-direction sideswipe accidents were the most common on three-lane, four-lane divided, and four-lane undivided highway types. Single vehicle and rear-end accidents were the most common on two-lane highways; single vehicle accidents were the most common on interstates and parkways.
4. Type of accident and type of traffic control were related (Table 4). The average percentage of a particular accident type which could be expected at a certain type of traffic control was determined.
5. The severity of an accident was related to the type of accident, highway, and traffic control (Tables 5, 6, and 7). Pedestrian accidents had a much higher severity index than any other types of accidents. Four-lane divided highways had the lowest severity index and parkways had the highest. Excluding accidents occurring at railroad crossings, accidents which occurred on curves had the greatest severity index.
6. A person not wearing a safety belt has approximately twice the probability of being injured and four times the probability of being killed compared to a person who does wear a safety belt.

INTRODUCTION

The present criterion used in Kentucky to identify high-accident location is not specific with respect to type of highway. Intuitively, differences in accident histories should exist; it should be possible to statistically identify or define relationships between the geometrics of a location and its accident history. Accident investigators need to compare the accident experience of a highway location under study with similar locations across the state. Also, by noting differences in accident experience of a highway type as its features change, the benefits realized from a particular change could be assessed.

Several high-accident location identification procedures used elsewhere utilize average or critical accident rates (1, 2). A critical rate is determined; rates higher than the critical establish hazardous locations.

Through the use of volume and accident data, critical rates for various types of highways can be calculated and used in determining high accident sites.

The findings presented in this report resulted from a study of accident experience on different highway types encompassing the rural highway system in Kentucky.

PROCEDURE

Accident and traffic volume data were collected for a 3-year period (1970-1972). The accident data were obtained from computer tapes containing all state police reported accidents. Kentucky only recently enacted a uniform accident reporting law, so the state police reports studied were almost exclusively for rural areas. Therefore, only rural accidents were considered. Rural accidents include all accidents occurring in cities with less than 2500 population. Jefferson, Fayette, Campbell, Kenton, and Boone Counties were excluded inasmuch as local police investigate the vast majority of all accidents within those counties.

The volume data were collected from two sources. First, a computer printout was obtained which summarized the number of vehicle miles of travel on different highway types in rural areas. Second, volumes were taken from Kentucky traffic flow maps for those locations which were omitted in the first source.

The rural highway system was divided into the following types of highways:

- 1) two-lane,
- 2) three-lane,
- 3) four-lane, undivided,
- 4) four-lane, divided (no access control), and
- 5) interstates and parkways

Interstates and parkways was separated into two separate categories for some comparisons.

The accident and volume computer printouts yielded satisfactory information for the "two-lane" and "interstate and parkway" categories. For the remaining three categories, errors were found in the computer information which necessitated manual determination of mileposts of the highways in these categories. The limited mileage of these highways permitted long-hand manipulation. Once the mileposts were assigned, a computer program was written to obtain accident information. Volumes were obtained from traffic flow maps.

The accident data tape enabled preparation of rather detailed summaries. Accident severity information was obtained as well as information on type of accident, road surface condition, light conditions, road character, and type of traffic control. The information was then summarized by highway type. Also, types of accidents

were summarized according to traffic control. Accident severity associated with safety belt usage was studied.

Average critical accident rates per 100 million vehicle miles (MVM) (160 million vehicle kilometers (MVK)) were calculated for each highway type. The following formula was used (3):

$$A_c = A_a + K \sqrt{A_a/M + 1/2M}$$

where A_c = critical accident rate,
 A_a = average accident rate,
 K = constant related to level of statistical significance selected; for $P = 0.95$, $K = 1.645$; for $P = 0.995$, $K = 2.576$, and
 M = annual 100 million vehicle miles (160 million vehicle kilometers).

Critical rates were determined for two probability levels to show the effect choice of probability level has on critical rates. The critical accident rate in terms of accidents per mile were determined by multiplying the critical rate by the annual volume.

Each accident was classified according to one of the following types:

1. head-on or opposite direction sideswipe,
2. rear-end or same direction sideswipe,
3. angle,
4. pedestrian,
5. other collision,
6. single vehicle,
7. fixed object, or
8. other.

Most of the accident types are self-explanatory. "Other collisions" refer to collisions with a non-motor vehicle (train, bicycle, and parked car) as well as non-intersection accidents whose directional analysis was not stated. The "other" category refers to accidents involving single vehicles for which the circumstances were not stated.

In some severity comparisons, a term called the severity index (4) was used. Severity index is calculated using

$$\text{Severity Index (SI)} = \text{EPDO}/N_t$$

where N_t = total number of accidents,
 $\text{EPDO} = 9.5 (K + A) + 3.5 (B + C) + \text{PDO}$,
 K = number of fatal accidents,
 A = number of A-type injury accidents (accidents where an A-type injury was the most severe injury sustained),

B = number of B-type injury accidents,
 C = number of C-type injury accidents, and
 PDO = number of property-damage-only accidents.

FINDINGS

The average number of accidents, injuries, and fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles (160 million vehicle kilometers) by type of highway is provided in Table 1. Table 2 shows the number of accidents, injuries, and fatalities per mile per year by type of highway. The fatality rates appear high, but this results from including only rural accidents. Four-lane undivided highways had the highest accident, injury, and fatality rates. This was not surprising since that type of highway is frequently a high volume road with a large number of conflict points. When the number of conflict points is reduced by dividing the roadway, the accident rate exhibits a sharp reduction and the injury and fatality rate declined. Volume on this highway type is similar to the four-lane undivided highway type. With access control and at-grade intersections eliminated on interstates and parkways, the accident rate reaches a minimum. The effect of volume on accident rate can be seen in the difference between interstate and parkway rates. Interstates, which have much higher volumes, have a higher accident rate. APPENDIX A presents detailed accident and volume data used in calculations.

The average critical accident rates by type of highway are cited in Table 3. The rates are given in terms of accidents per 100 million vehicle miles (160 million vehicle kilometers) for 1-mile (1.6 kilometer) sections and accidents per mile (kilometer) per year. Because of low volumes, the two-lane highways have the highest critical rate in terms of accidents per 100 million vehicle miles (160 million vehicle kilometers). In terms of accidents per mile (kilometer) per year, four-lane undivided highways have the highest critical rate. If the accident rate for a particular section of highway exceeds the average critical accident rate for that highway type, the section may be considered hazardous. The average critical accident rates cited were derived from statewide averages. In practice, each roadway section would have its own critical rate based on its volume. A graph can be drawn for each highway type to relate the critical rate to the average daily volume (5). As the volume increases, the critical rate will decrease and finally become nearly constant. The graph would also give critical accident rates for various section lengths.

The percentage of accident types occurring on various highways is shown in Figure 1. Rear-end or same-direction sideswipe accidents were the most frequent type accidents for all highway types as a group. For three-lane, four-lane divided, and four-lane undivided highway types, the rear-end accident was the most common. Single vehicle and rear-end accidents were the most common on two-lane roads. Single-vehicle accidents were the most frequent on interstates and parkways, followed by a significant percentage of rear-end accidents. Two-lane and three-lane highways also had a significant percentage of head-on or opposite-direction sideswipe accidents while four-lane divided and undivided highways had a significant percentage of angle accidents. The percentage of fixed object accidents appears low. This could have resulted from classifying some fixed object accidents as single-vehicle accidents.

A study (6) showed that as the volume increased on interstate highways the percentage of rear-end accidents increased and the percentage of single-vehicle accidents decreased. This was found to be the case when the percentages of these accidents occurring on interstates (high-volume roads) were compared to the parkways (low-volume roads). On parkways, 22.0 percent of the accidents were rear-end or same-direction sideswipe and 72.9 percent were single-vehicle accidents (including "fixed object" and "other" accidents). On the higher volume interstates, the percentage of rear-end type accidents increased to 32.6 percent, while the single-vehicle accidents decreased to 59.1 percent. This relationship should be similar for other types of highways, but the accident data were not sufficiently stratified by volume to permit comparisons. Accident rates for each type of accident on each type of highway are given in APPENDIX B.

The percentages of accident types for a given traffic control device are presented in Table 4. The data provide a general idea of the effects a change in traffic control would have on the type of accidents occurring. For example, changing from a stop sign to a signal may reduce angle accidents but increase rear-end accidents. Also, by comparing the percentages of the types of accidents occurring at a given location to the statewide averages, an abnormal number of a particular type of accident may be detected.

The severity of an accident varies with type of accident, highway, and traffic control. Tables 5, 6, and 7 show the relationships between the severity index and type of accident, highway, and traffic control, respectively. Pedestrian accidents had a much higher severity index than any other types of accident. Also, single-vehicle accidents exhibited a high severity index. It was interesting to note the difference in severity

between angle and rear-end accidents. By changing from a stop sign to a signal, the severity of the accidents may be decreased because angle-type accidents (which are more severe) usually decrease while the rear-end types increase.

The variation in severity index for the various highway types is given in Table 6. Four-lane divided highways had the lowest severity index of any highway type. Parkway accidents had the highest severity index; this may be attributed to the high percentage of single-vehicle accidents as well as high speeds.

Data in Table 7 again demonstrate the decrease in accident severity when a stop sign is replaced with a signal. Also, the relatively low severity of rear-end accidents was shown by noting that the YIELD sign, which is associated with a very high percentage of rear-end accidents, had the lowest severity index of any traffic control. Accidents at railroad crossings had the highest severity index. Accidents on curves were also severe.

APPENDIX C contains information on accident severity associated with safety belt usage. The severity index of occupants who used safety belts was much lower (1.66) than for those who did not use safety belts (2.44). It was found that a person not wearing a safety belt has approximately twice the probability of being injured and four times the probability of being killed compared to a person who did wear a safety belt.

The average severity index of all rural accidents changed from year to year. Table 8 provides average severity indexes for all types of highways for 1970, 1971, and 1972. Accident severity has reduced slightly from 1970 to 1972. This decrease may be attributable to new vehicle safety features and(or) increased traffic volumes which result in lower speeds and, thereby, less severe accidents.

APPENDIX D contains figures which relate the percentage of accidents to intersections, road surface condition, light conditions, grade, curvature, and traffic control. These figures list the average percentage of accidents which could be expected to occur during wet conditions, darkness, at intersections, etc.

IMPLEMENTATION

The tables which give rural statewide average accident rates for the various highway types are a means of assessing whether a particular section of roadway is hazardous. Using graphs which relate critical accident rate, volume for each type of highway, section length, and probabilities, more accurate judgements can be made (5).

The tables and figures which relate type of highway, accident, traffic control, and severity index are a means of determining if a certain location or section of roadway deviates greatly from the average. Also, the

effect of a change in traffic control or geometrics can be estimated. The figures which relate the percentage of accidents to road surface and light condition only provide a set of references for judging normalcy or abnormalcy in other or more specific data sets. The tables and figures presented herein are intended to show rural statewide average conditions which can be useful for comparative purposes.

Finally, the section of the study dealing with safety belt usage provides quantitative results as to the benefits of using safety belts. The numbers presented are an effective means of illustrating the results of using safety belts.




Figure 1. Percentage of Accident Types Occurring on Various Types of Highways.

TABLE 1

**ACCIDENTS, INJURIES, AND FATALITIES
PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES (160 MVK)
(1970-1972)**

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	ACCIDENT RATE	INJURY RATE	FATALITY RATE
Two-Lane	239	154	9.3
Three-Lane	244	197	11.0
Four-Lane, Undivided	313	202	24.6
Four-Lane, Divided	156	100	4.7
(No Access Control)			
Interstate	85	60	3.1
Parkway	80	54	4.6
Interstate and Parkway	84	59	3.3
Mean (All Roads)	204	132	7.9

TABLE 2

**ACCIDENTS, INJURIES, AND FATALITIES
PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR
(1970-1972)**

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	ACCIDENT RATE	INJURY RATE	FATALITY RATE
Two-Lane	0.90	0.58	0.04
Three-Lane	3.47	2.79	0.16
Four-Lane, Undivided	9.35	5.97	0.73
Four-Lane, Divided	5.48	3.51	0.16
(No Access Control)			
Interstate	3.72	2.61	0.13
Parkway	0.82	0.55	0.05
Interstate and Parkway	2.37	1.65	0.09
Mean (All Roads)	1.00	0.65	0.04

TABLE 3
AVERAGE CRITICAL ACCIDENT RATES

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	MEAN AADT	CRITICAL ACCIDENT RATES			
		ACCIDENTS PER 100 MVM (1-MILE (0.16-KM) SECTION)		ACCIDENTS PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR	
		P = 0.95	P = 0.995	P = 0.95	P = 0.995
Two-Lane	1036	785	1019	3.0	3.9
Three-Lane	5510	450	553	9.0	11.1
Four-Lane, Undivided	9628	280	342	9.8	12.0
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	8189	498	593	14.9	17.7
Interstate	11957	169	210	7.4	9.2
Parkway	2808	279	360	2.8	3.7
Interstate and Parkway	7703	192	243	5.4	6.8

TABLE 4
**PERCENTAGES OF VARIOUS TYPES OF
ACCIDENTS AS A FUNCTION OF TYPE OF TRAFFIC CONTROL**

TRAFFIC CONTROL	TYPE OF ACCIDENT							
	HEAD-ON OR OPPOSITE DIREC- TION SIDESWIPE	REAR-END OR SAME DIRECTION SIDESWIPE	ANGLE COLLISION	PEDESTRIAN	OTHER COLLISION	SINGLE VEHICLE	FIXED OBJECT	OTHER
Stop Sign	4.1	29.6	51.9	0.2	1.2	12.0	0.7	0.3
Signal	6.2	55.9	28.6	0.3	2.2	5.0	2.0	0.2
Yield Sign	4.0	56.2	22.5	0	3.6	12.0	0	1.6
Flashing Beacon	5.8	51.9	14.9	1.6	7.7	13.3	5.0	0.5
No Passing Zone	25.1	28.0	3.9	1.6	8.9	29.7	1.2	1.5
Curve Sign	29.1	9.0	1.9	0.5	4.8	52.5	1.4	0.7
Speed Limit Zone	17.3	29.9	5.0	1.7	15.6	27.5	1.1	1.9
Advisory Speed Sign	11.6	29.6	3.3	1.3	11.9	38.2	2.8	1.2
Railroad Gates or Signals	8.7	18.9	3.1	1.0	46.4	18.9	2.6	0.5
Centerline	12.8	35.7	2.7	1.4	7.8	35.3	1.4	3.0
Officer or Watchman	4.4	62.4	1.7	1.7	16.6	9.6	3.1	0.4
Other	37.4	16.8	2.7	1.4	11.4	27.1	1.3	1.9

TABLE 5

SEVERITY INDEX FOR
VARIOUS TYPES OF ACCIDENTS

TYPE OF ACCIDENT	SEVERITY INDEX
Head-On or Opposite Direction Sideswipe	2.84
Rear-End or Same Direction Sideswipe	2.10
Angle Collision	2.60
Pedestrian	7.60
Other Collision	2.59
Single Vehicle	3.58
Fixed Object	2.70
Other	1.99

TABLE 6

SEVERITY INDICES

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	SEVERITY INDEX
Two-Lane	2.85
Three-Lane	2.96
Four-Lane, Undivided	2.84
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	2.75
Interstate	2.82
Parkway	3.07
Interstate and Parkway	2.86
Mean (All Roads)	2.84

TABLE 7

SEVERITY INDICES AS A FUNCTION
OF TYPE OF TRAFFIC CONTROL

TRAFFIC CONTROL	SEVERITY INDEX
Stop Sign	2.70
Signal	2.27
Yield Sign	2.03
Flashing Beacon	2.45
No Passing Zone	2.72
Curve Sign	3.13
Speed Limit Zone	2.66
Advisory Speed Sign	2.80
Railroad Gates or Signals	3.81
Centerline	2.94
Officer or Watchman	2.21
Other	2.62

TABLE 8	
AVERAGE SEVERITY INDEX FOR ALL HIGHWAY TYPES	
YEAR	SEVERITY INDEX
1970	2.91
1971	2.85
1972	2.78

REFERENCES

1. *Methodology Employed in the Identification of Hazardous Locations and Sections on the Rural State Highway System*, Ohio Department of Highways, Bureau of Traffic, October 1972.
2. *Safety Project Selection*, Oklahoma Department of Highways, Traffic Engineering Division, December 1972.
3. Morin, D. A., *Application of Statistical Concepts to Accident Data*, **Public Roads**, April 1967.
4. Agent, K. R., *Evaluation of the High-Accident Location Spot-Improvement Program in Kentucky*, Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways, February 1973.
5. Zegeer, C. V., *An Evaluation of Methods for Identification of Hazardous Highway Locations*, Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways, 1974.
6. Fee, J. A.; Beatty, R. L.; Dietz, S. K.; Kaufman, S. F.; Yates, J. G., *Interstate System Accident Research Study-1*, U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, October 1970.

APPENDIX A
DETAILED ACCIDENT AND VOLUME DATA
(1970-1972)

TABLE A-1
RURAL ACCIDENT DATA
(1970)

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	ROADWAY		VEHICLE -MILES (PER DAY)	VEHICLE- KILOMETERS (PER DAY)	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS	ACCIDENT RATES	
	MILES	KILOMETERS				ACCIDENTS PER 100 MVM (160 MVK)	ACCIDENTS PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR
Two-Lane	22015	35430	21,909,992	35,260,714	18280	229	0.83
Three-Lane	34	55	127,726	205,555	133	285	3.91
Four-Lane, Undivided	34	55	267,337	430,237	325	333	9.56
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	148	238	1,407,288	2,264,810	758	148	5.12
Interstate	451	726	4,728,255	7,609,389	1559	90	3.46
Parkway	368	592	977,218	1,572,680	305	86	0.83
Interstate and Parkway	819	1318	5,705,473	9,182,069	1864	90	2.28
Total	23050	37095	29,417,816	47,343,386	21360	199	0.93

TABLE A-2
RURAL ACCIDENT DATA
(1971)

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	ROADWAY		VEHICLE -MILES (PER DAY)	VEHICLE- KILOMETERS (PER DAY)	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS	ACCIDENT RATES	
	MILES	KILOMETERS				ACCIDENTS PER 100 MVM (160 MVK)	ACCIDENTS PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR
Two-Lane	21961	35343	22,535,837	36,267,914	19604	238	0.89
Three-Lane	34	55	132,196	212,749	106	220	3.12
Four-Lane, Undivided	34	55	279,109	449,182	326	320	9.59
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	161	259	1,537,064	2,473,665	795	142	4.94
Interstate	451	726	5,546,955	8,926,959	1645	81	3.65
Parkway	368	592	1,044,480	1,680,928	309	81	0.84
Interstate and Parkway	819	1318	6,591,435	10,607,886	1954	81	2.39
Total	23063	37116	31,075,641	50,011,396	22785	201	0.99

TABLE A-3
RURAL ACCIDENT DATA
(1972)

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	ROADWAY		VEHICLE -MILES (PER DAY)	VEHICLE- KILOMETERS (PER DAY)	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS	ACCIDENT RATES	
	MILES	KILOMETERS				ACCIDENTS PER 100 MVM (160 MVK)	ACCIDENTS PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR
Two-Lane	21864	35187	23,753,505	38,227,560	21633	249	0.99
Three-Lane	34	55	136,823	220,195	114	228	3.35
Four-Lane, Undivided	35	56	288,878	464,904	304	288	8.69
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	167	269	1,647,993	2,652,188	1062	177	6.36
Interstate	448	721	5,866,381	9,441,025	1816	85	4.05
Parkway	438	705	1,271,665	2,046,546	351	76	0.80
Interstate and Parkway	886	1426	7,138,046	11,487,571	2167	83	2.45
Total	22986	36992	32,965,245	53,052,419	25280	210	1.10

APPENDIX B

**ACCIDENT RATE DATA BY TYPE OF HIGHWAY
AND TYPE OF ACCIDENT**

TABLE B-1

**ACCIDENTS PER 100 MVM (160 MVK)
(1970-1972)**

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	TYPE OF ACCIDENT							
	HEAD-ON OR OPPOSITE DIREC- TION SIDESWIPE	REAR-END OR SAME DIRECTION SIDESWIPE	ANGLE COLLISION	PEDESTRIAN	OTHER COLLISION	SINGLE VEHICLE	FIXED OBJECT	OTHER
Two-Lane	46	73	15	3	15	73	8	6
Three-Lane	32	106	12	5	18	62	3	6
Four-Lane, Undivided	20	164	50	6	20	43	7	3
Four-Lane, Divided	8	80	18	1	9	32	6	2
(No Access Control)								
Interstate	1	28	0	1	5	44	4	2
Parkway	1	18	1	1	2	45	5	7
Interstate and Parkway	1	26	1	1	4	44	4	3

TABLE B-2

**ACCIDENTS PER MILE (1.6 KM) PER YEAR
(1970-1972)**

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	TYPE OF ACCIDENT							
	HEAD-ON OR OPPOSITE DIREC- TION SIDESWIPE	REAR-END OR SAME DIRECTION SIDESWIPE	ANGLE COLLISION	PEDESTRIAN	OTHER COLLISION	SINGLE VEHICLE	FIXED OBJECT	OTHER
Two-Lane	0.17	0.28	0.06	0.01	0.06	0.28	0.03	0.01
Three-Lane	0.46	1.50	0.18	0.07	0.25	0.88	0.05	0.08
Four-Lane, Undivided	0.61	4.89	1.49	0.19	0.59	1.27	0.21	0.10
Four-Lane, Divided	0.28	2.79	0.62	0.04	0.34	1.13	0.20	0.08
(No Access Control)								
Interstate	0.07	1.21	0.01	0.02	0.21	1.92	0.18	0.10
Parkway	0.01	0.18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.47	0.06	0.07
Interstate and Parkway	0.04	0.73	0.01	0.02	0.12	1.25	0.12	0.08

APPENDIX C
SAFETY BELT USAGE

SAFETY BELT USAGE

Safety belts have been strongly recommended as a means to reduce severity of traffic accidents. Table C-1 provides severity indexes associated with safety belt usage. The severity index formula was modified in order to calculate values for occupants rather than accidents. The modified formula follows:

$$\text{Severity Index (SI)} = [9.5 (K + A) + 3.5 (B + C) + \text{PDO}] / N_t$$

where N_t = total occupants involved in state-police-reported accidents which had safety belt use coded on the accident tape,
 K = total fatalities,
 A = total A-type injuries,
 B = total B-type injuries,
 C = total C-type injuries, and
 PDO = total occupants who sustained no injuries.

The table shows that the severity index for occupants who used safety belts was much lower (1.66) than for those who did not use safety belts (2.44). This adds further credence to the supposition that safety belt usage can greatly reduce the severity of most accidents. The difference between the severity indexes involving vehicles without safety belts and vehicles equipped with safety belts which were not used was larger than would

be anticipated. A higher severity index for occupants in vehicles not equipped with safety belts may be expected since the older vehicles tend to be in worse mechanical condition than the newer cars. Also, some safety features have been added to the newer cars. Still, the large difference was surprising.

It was interesting to note the percentage of vehicle occupants who used safety belts. Of the total vehicle occupancy, six percent used safety belts. Counting only vehicles equipped with safety belts, 10.7 percent of the occupants were wearing safety belts. This percentage did not change significantly from 1970 to 1972.

A total of 44.2 percent of the occupants were in vehicles not equipped with safety belts while 49.8 percent of the occupants were in a vehicle which had a safety belt, but it was not used.

The percentage of vehicle occupants injured or killed in relation to safety belt usage is another illustration of the effectiveness of safety belts. Of the occupants who used safety belts, 17.1 percent received a non-fatal injury while 0.4 percent were fatalities. In contrast, of the occupants who did not use a safety belt, 30.4 percent received a non-fatal injury while 1.7 percent were fatalities. It should be noted that these percentages pertain to vehicle occupants whose safety belt usage was coded on the accident tape. The percentages showed that a person not wearing a safety belt has approximately twice the probability of being injured and four times the probability of being killed compared to a person who does wear a safety belt.

TABLE C-1
VEHICLE OCCUPANT'S SEVERITY INDEX
AS A FUNCTION OF SAFETY BELT USAGE

SAFETY BELT USAGE	SEVERITY INDEX
Safety Belts Used	1.66
Safety Belts Not Used	2.44
No Safety Belts in Vehicle	3.00
Vehicle Equipped with Safety Belts	1.95

APPENDIX D

**RELATIONSHIP OF VARIOUS FACTORS TO ACCIDENTS
BY TYPE OF HIGHWAY**

RELATIONSHIP OF VARIOUS FACTORS TO ACCIDENTS BY TYPE OF HIGHWAY

Figure D-1 shows the percentage of intersection-related accidents versus type of highway. Four-lane divided and undivided (no access control) highways had the highest percentage of intersection related accidents. The percentage drops drastically when at-grade intersections are eliminated -- as on interstates or parkways.

Figure D-2 relates road surface conditions to accidents. Between 20-30 percent of the total accidents occur during wet conditions. Therefore, if this percentage is greatly exceeded, a remedy such as improved drainage or resurfacing may be necessary.

Interstates and parkways had the highest percentage of accidents during snowy or icy conditions. Higher traffic speeds may be a contributing factor.

The percentages of accidents which occurred during daylight and darkness are given in Figure D-3. The percentages during darkness varied from 27 to 35 percent. If the percentage on a particular road section significantly exceeds these percentages, lighting may be advisable.

The percentages of accidents on each highway type involving curvature and grade are shown in Figures D-4 and D-5, respectively. Tables D-1 cites the percentage of accidents for various highway types versus type of traffic control.




Figure D-1. Percentage of Intersection-Related Accidents on Various Types of Highways.




Figure D-2. Percentage of Accidents Related to Road Surface Conditions on Various Types of Highways.




Figure D-3. Percentage of Accidents Related to Lighting Conditions on Various Types of Highways.




Figure D-4. Percentage of Accidents Related to Horizontal Alinement on Various Types of Highways.




Figure D-5. Percentage of Accidents Related to Vertical Alinement on Various Types of Highways.

TABLE D-1
PERCENTAGES OF RURAL HIGHWAY ACCIDENTS AS A
FUNCTION OF TYPE OF HIGHWAY AND TYPE OF TRAFFIC CONTROL

TYPE OF HIGHWAY	TYPE OF TRAFFIC CONTROL											
	STOP SIGN	SIGNAL	YIELD SIGN	FLASHING BEACON	NO PASSING ZONE	CURVE SIGN	SPEED LIMIT ZONE	ADVISORY SPEED SIGN	RAILROAD GATES OR SIGNALS	CENTER- LINE	OFFICER OR WATCHMAN	OTHER
Two-Lane	5.9	0.5	0.3	0.5	3.7	1.9	3.6	0.7	0.3	63.0	0.3	19.3
Three-Lane	3.9	4.5	0	0.6	2.3	0.6	2.5	1.7	0.3	79.3	0.6	3.7
Four-Lane, Undivided	12.5	13.4	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.2	2.2	0.3	0.4	62.8	0.1	4.7
Four-Lane, Divided (No Access Control)	8.2	6.0	1.3	1.9	0.4	0.3	2.2	0.7	0.1	76.0	0.7	2.2
Interstate and Parkway	1.3	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.5	4.1	3.5	0	83.8	0.8	3.8